

- 1 In a random sample of 70 students taken from a large Sixth Form College it was found that 30 of the students owned mobile phones. Calculate an approximate 95% confidence interval for the proportion of all the students in the College who owned mobile phones. [4]

- 2 The delegates applying to attend a large conference were asked to state on their application forms whether they required vegetarian meals and whether they required a car parking space. A random sample of 80 of the delegates' application forms showed that 46 required parking spaces of whom 4 were vegetarians, and 34 did not require a parking space of whom 10 were vegetarians.

Using a 5% significance level, test whether, for the delegates applying to attend the conference, requiring a parking space is associated with being a vegetarian. [8]

- 3 The continuous random variable X has probability density function given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \leq x < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2x} & 1 \leq x \leq e, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (i) Find the (cumulative) distribution function of X . [4]
- (ii) Show that the upper quartile of X is \sqrt{e} . [2]
- (iii) Find $E(X)$. [2]
- 4 When an air conditioner in a car is switched on it increases the fuel consumption. A motoring organisation claims that air conditioners reduce the number of miles per gallon by, on average, no more than 2. An environmental pressure group believe that the effect is greater than this. The pressure group conducted a test using a random sample of 8 cars fitted with air conditioners. The number of miles per gallon achieved by each car was measured, under identical test conditions, first with the air conditioner switched off and then with the air conditioner switched on. The results are given below.

Car	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Air conditioner off	38.5	42.5	33.1	41.2	53.9	58.8	39.4	46.4
Air conditioner on	35.4	40.5	30.8	40.1	48.3	52.1	36.6	41.8

- (i) Carry out an appropriate t -test, at the 10% significance level, and hence show that there is sufficient evidence to believe that the environmental pressure group is correct. State any assumption necessary to justify the use of this test. [8]
- (ii) The motoring organisation insists that their claim is correct and that the sample is unrepresentative. Using your tables, what can you say about the probability of a random sample of size 8 resulting in a test statistic as extreme as that in part (i) if the null hypothesis is in fact true? [2]

- 5 A survey is conducted of customers using a Post Office. Starting when the Post Office opens in the morning, a count is made of the number of customers up to and including the first person to collect their old age pension. This is repeated on each of a total of 40 different days and the results are summarised below.

Number of customers	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	≥ 11
Frequency	23	5	3	3	2	1	0	1	0	2	0

It is thought that this distribution may be modelled by a geometric distribution with parameter p , where p is the probability that a customer is collecting their old age pension.

- (i) Calculate the mean and hence show that the value of p , estimated from the data, is 0.408, correct to 3 decimal places. [2]

It is intended to test, at the 5% significance level, the goodness of fit of the model to the data.

- (ii) Using this geometric model, complete the table of expected frequencies appropriately. [3]

Number of customers	1	2	
Expected frequency	16.33	9.66	

- (iii) Carry out the test. [5]

- 6 A commercial potato grower grows a particular variety of potato using a well-known fertiliser to promote growth. A new fertiliser has become available and the grower decides to conduct a test in which he grows, in adjacent plots, 100 of the seed potatoes using the usual fertiliser and 100 using the new fertiliser. The yields per seed potato, x kg and y kg, can be summarised as follows.

Usual fertiliser: $\Sigma x = 102.2$.

New fertiliser: $\Sigma y = 111.4$.

- (i) Assuming that the population standard deviation is 0.210 kg for each population, carry out a hypothesis test, at the 10% significance level, and show that the hypothesis that seed potatoes grown with the new fertiliser have a higher mean yield than those grown with the usual fertiliser would be accepted. [6]
- (ii) Calculate a 95% confidence interval for the increase in mean yield. [3]
- (iii) Explain what this confidence interval tells the potato grower. [1]

[Question 7 is printed overleaf.]

7 A junior secretary handles the emails that arrive for two company secretaries, Louise and Thelma. Louise's emails for Thelma may be assumed to arrive at random and at an average rate of 3.4 per day. Louise's emails may also be assumed to arrive at random, independently of Thelma's, at an average rate of 5.1 per day.

(i) Find the probability that, on one particular day, the junior secretary has to handle more than 10 emails. [3]

(ii) The senior secretary handles the emails addressed to the company website. The number of these emails arriving in a five-day working week can be modelled by a normal distribution with mean 70 and variance 36. The senior secretary claims that she often handles at least twice as many emails in a week as the junior secretary does. Using a suitable approximation, estimate the number of weeks in a working year of 48 weeks in which this would happen. [Do not use a continuity correction.] [7]

<p>1. $p = \frac{56}{70} = 0.8$</p> <p>Interval is $0.8 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.8(1-0.8)}{70}}$</p> <p>Interval is $0.706 < p < 0.894$</p> <p>OR $56 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{70 \cdot 0.8 \cdot (1-0.8)}$</p> <p>$0.8 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.8(1-0.8)}{70}}$</p> <p>Interval is $0.706 < p < 0.894$</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1 4</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 4</p>	<p>At any stage – may be implied</p> <p>Calculation of form $p \pm z \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$</p> <p>Relevant use of 1.96</p> <p>Correct interval</p> <p>Calculation of form $56 \pm z \sqrt{n \cdot p \cdot (1-p)}$</p> <p>Relevant use of 1.96</p> <p>Divide by 70</p>																				
<p>2.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="181 703 722 952"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Veg</th> <th>Non Veg</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Parking</td> <td>4</td> <td>42</td> <td>46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No Parking</td> <td>10</td> <td>24</td> <td>34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>14</td> <td>66</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Expected freqs.</td> <td>8.05,</td> <td>37.95,</td> <td>5.95, 28.05</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> $\sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{(4-8.05 -0.5)^2}{8.05} + \dots$ $= 1.565.. + 0.332 + 2.118 + 0.449..$ $= 4.46$ <p>This is greater than the critical value of 3.84</p> <p>Reject null hypothesis - there is evidence of association between vegetarianism and need for car parking space.</p> <p>OR Difference of proportions</p> <p>H_0 Proportion of parkers being Veg. = Proportion .non veg</p> <p>H_1 Proportion of parkers being Veg. \neq Proportion .non veg</p> <p>Combined estimate of $p = \frac{14}{80}$</p> $z = \frac{\frac{4}{46} - \frac{10}{34}}{\sqrt{\frac{14}{80} \cdot \frac{66}{80} \cdot (\frac{1}{46} + \frac{1}{34})}}$ $= 2.41$ <p>Comparison with 1.96</p> <p>Reject H_0 - accept proportions different or there is association between vegetarians and parking spaces</p>		Veg	Non Veg	Total	Parking	4	42	46	No Parking	10	24	34	Total	14	66	80	Expected freqs.	8.05,	37.95,	5.95, 28.05	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 8</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 8</p>	<p>Display data as contingency table</p> <p>Correct method for at least one cell</p> <p>All correct</p> <p>At least 1 correct term.</p> <p>Include Yates correction</p> <p>Correct to 1 dp.(4.4 or 4.5)</p> <p>Comparison with correct table value</p> <p>Conclusion, in context, following correct working (Yates not required)</p> <p>c.a.o.</p> <p>Diff. of proportions – s.d based on estimate of p</p> <p>Completely correct form</p> <p>Correct substitutions</p> <p>Correct to 1 dp</p> <p>As above</p> <p>As above</p>
	Veg	Non Veg	Total																			
Parking	4	42	46																			
No Parking	10	24	34																			
Total	14	66	80																			
Expected freqs.	8.05,	37.95,	5.95, 28.05																			

<p>3. (i) $0 \leq x \leq 1$ $F(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$</p> <p>$1 \leq x \leq e$ $F(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \int_1^x \frac{1}{2x} dx$</p> <p style="padding-left: 100px;">$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln x$</p> <p>$x < 0 \Rightarrow F(x) = 0$ and $x > e \Rightarrow F(x) = 1$</p> <p>ii) $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln q_3 = 0.75$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$\ln q_3 = 0.5 \Rightarrow q_3 = e^{0.5}$ (AG)</p> <p>(iii) $E(X) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2}x dx + \int_1^e x \frac{1}{2x} dx$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$= [\frac{1}{4}x^2]_0^1 + [\frac{1}{2}x]_1^e$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$= \frac{1}{2}e - \frac{1}{4}$ or 1.11(3sf)</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1 4</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 2</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 2</p>	<p>Completely correct</p> <p>Use of $\int f(x) dx$ to find function of x.</p> <p>c.a.o.</p> <p>Substitution in $F(x) = 0.75$ or equivalent.</p> <p>Use of $E(X) = \int x.f(x) dx$ – both parts.</p> <p>Accept $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}$</p>
<p>4. (i) $H_0: \mu_d = 2, H_1: \mu_d > 2$</p> <p>$\bar{d} = 3.525$</p> <p>$s = 1.9255..$</p> <p>Test statistic is $t = \frac{3.525 - 2}{\frac{1.9255}{\sqrt{8}}}$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$= 2.24$</p> <p>This is greater than the critical value of 1.415</p> <p>Reject H_0 - conclude that the reduction in mpg is more than 2</p> <p>Assumption is that the differences are normally distributed</p> <p>(ii) $P(T > 1.895) = 0.95, P(T > 2.365) = 0.975$</p> <p>Probability of sample this extreme is between 2.5% and 5%</p> <p>SR. 2 Sample solution</p> <p>H_0, H_1 as above; $\bar{x} = 44.255, \bar{y} = 40.7$</p> <p>Pooled sample estimate $\bar{\sigma}^2 = 59.64$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$t = \frac{3.525 - 2}{\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}}} = 0.3949$</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">$\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8}}$</p> <p>Comparison with critical t value = 1.345</p> <p>Assume common variance and both populations normal.</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>B1 8</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1 2</p> <p>B1;B1</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1A0</p> <p>M1A0</p> <p>B1</p>	<p>Both hypotheses stated</p> <p>allow $s^2 = 3.707...$ or biased estimate 3.244</p> <p>calculation of form $(\bar{x} - \mu) / (s / \sqrt{n})$ - omission of 2 condoned for M1</p> <p>Correct to 2 decimal places</p> <p>Comparison of test statistic with 1.415</p> <p>Correct conclusion, stated in context, following correct working</p> <p>Use of t tables – may be implied.</p> <p>Any reasonable statement about probability.</p> <p>Max 6/8</p>

<p>5. (i) $\bar{x} = 2.45$</p> <p>$p = \frac{1}{2.45} = 0.408$</p> <p>(ii)</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>...OR ≥ 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.408</td> <td>0.241</td> <td>0.142</td> <td>0.0846</td> <td>...0.207</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16.33</td> <td>9.66</td> <td>5.72</td> <td>3.38</td> <td>... 8.29</td> </tr> </table> <p>Combine to 4+ giving O = 9, E = 8.29</p> $\sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = \frac{(23-16.3)^2}{16.3} + \frac{(5-9.7)^2}{9.7} +$ $= 2.754.. + 2.277.. + 1.278.. + 0.059$ $= 6.3 \text{ or } 6.4(1dp)$ <p>This is greater than the critical chi-square value of 5.991</p> <p>There is sufficient evidence to reject H_0 so the geometric distribution is not a good fit.</p>	1	2	3	4	...OR ≥ 4	0.408	0.241	0.142	0.0846	...0.207	16.33	9.66	5.72	3.38	... 8.29	<p>B1</p> <p>B1 2</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 8</p>	<p>Mean correct to 2 dp.</p> <p>Allow 40/98</p> <p>Values up to x =4 at least required</p> <p>Values up to x =4 at least required</p> <p>All values correct to 1 dp.</p> <p>Combining cells correctly to E ≥ 5</p> <p>At least two terms correct</p> <p>Correct to 1 dp</p> <p>Compare sensible statistic with relevant value from chi-square tables – allow 7.815 for M1</p> <p>Correct conclusion from correct working, in context.</p>
1	2	3	4	...OR ≥ 4													
0.408	0.241	0.142	0.0846	...0.207													
16.33	9.66	5.72	3.38	... 8.29													
<p>6.(i) $H_0: \mu_x = \mu_y$ $H_1: \mu_x < \mu_y$</p> $Z = \frac{\frac{102.2}{100} - \frac{111.4}{100}}{\sqrt{\frac{0.210^2}{100} + \frac{0.210^2}{100}}}$ $= -3.10.$ <p>This is less than the critical z value of -1.282</p> <p>Sufficient evidence to reject H_0 and accept that the mean yield is greater with the new fertiliser</p> <p>(ii) Interval is $1.114 - 1.022 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.210^2}{100} + \frac{0.210^2}{100}}$</p> $0.034 < \mu < 0.150$ <p>(iii) The grower can expect an extra yield of between 34 and 150 grammes per seed potato. (95 times out of 100).</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 6</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1</p> <p>A1 3</p> <p>B1 1</p>	<p>Both hypotheses</p> <p>Use of correct formula</p> <p>Completely correct</p> <p>Correct to 1 dp</p> <p>Comparison of sensible z value with -1.282</p> <p>Correct conclusion, in context, following correct working</p> <p>Correct formula – condone 0.210 for M1A1</p> <p>Relevant use of 1.96</p> <p>Correct interval</p> <p>Clear , helpful interpretation from sensible interval – reference to increase required.</p>															

<p>7. (i) $T+L \sim \text{Po}(8.5)$</p> <p>$P(T+L \leq 10) = 0.7634$</p> <p>$P(T+L > 10) = 0.237(3 \text{ dp})$</p> <p>(ii) $\sum_1^5 T + \sum_1^5 L \sim \text{Po}(42.5)$</p> <p>Approximate by $N(42.5, 42.5)$</p> <p>$S - 2\left(\sum_1^5 T + \sum_1^5 L\right) \sim N(70 - 85, 36 + 4 \cdot 42.5)$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">$\sim N(-15, 206)$</p> <p>$P\left(S - 2\left(\sum_1^5 T + \sum_1^5 L\right) > 0\right) = P\left(z > \frac{15}{\sqrt{206}}\right)$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">$= 0.1480$</p> <p style="text-align: center;">$0.1480 \times 48 \approx 7.$</p> <p>S.R. $2S - \left(\sum_1^5 T + \sum_1^5 L\right)$ gets B1,M1,M1,M0,A0,M1,A0</p> <p>Max 4/7</p>	<p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 3</p> <p>B1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>M1*</p> <p>M1dep*</p> <p>A1</p> <p>M1</p> <p>A1 7</p>	<p>Summing 2 Poissons with correct parameter.</p> <p>Use of Poisson Tables – allow $T+L < 10$, giving 0.6530.</p> <p>Correct to 3 dp.</p> <p>Use of Normal Approximation to Poisson</p> <p>Consideration of $S - 2\left(\sum_1^5 T + \sum_1^5 L\right)$</p> <p>Normal, attempt to combine means/variances</p> <p>Correct mean and variance</p> <p>For standardisation</p> <p>c.a.o.</p>
---	--	--